



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the  
United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,  
on June 27, 2005

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: Deborah Berwick

Deborah Berwick

Appl. No.	:	10/071,877	Confirmation No. 9765
Applicant	:	Robert C. Downs, et al.	
Filed	:	February 8, 2002	
TC/A.U.	:	1743	
Examiner	:	Brian J. Sines	
Docket No.	:	36-001810US	
Customer No.	:	22798	
Client Ref No.:	:	P1006US10	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of December 29, 2004, please reconsider the application in light of the amendments and remarks below.

Applicant appreciates the time and effort expended by the Examiner in a phone interview of March 14, 2005, in the above cited matter. The discussion focused on the novelty of claim 1. The Examiner offered that the rejection was based on the broadest reading of clause b) in light of the third sentence of paragraph 18 in the application. However, Applicant noted that the meaning of the term is clear to one skilled in the art when read in light of the paragraph, as well as the Sample Processing Components section, the Figures, and the specification as a whole.

**A Listing the Claims** is provided as a courtesy beginning on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 11 of this paper.